App. F. IX-4

Previous references.

72-31 4.9.10 **1931.** **File No.** 12-31

(Thing year) **Serial Nos.** 12-14

Later references.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos.

Par B for June 1932

SUBJECT.

Decisions of Secretaries made by the Agenda Members of Council for consideration of Government. R.A. Copy of 1931

Letter No 1-10-A/106 2 requesting ... Secretaries a copy of ... 27-3-31 & file

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE (281)

Office of the *Ex-officio* Secretary, Representative Assembly and Legislative Council.

Rl 3537-40/Finance dly — 7 — Dated Bangalore, 14th March 193"

FROM

The Ex-officio Secretary,
Representative Assembly and Legislative Council

To

The Financial Secy

GENL & FEY SECTT
1194
18 APR. 1932
BANGALORE

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter No. Rl.3540-6 dated 22nd March 1932, I have the honour to forward herewith a copy of the promises made by Government during the first five days of the sittings of the Representative Assembly in October 1931 and to request that necessary action may kindly be taken in the matter and the final decision on the subject intimated to this office on or before the 23rd May 1932 as per Calendar issued in the above cited letter No. Rl.3540-16/34, dated 8th April 1932.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Ex-officio Secretary,
Representative Assembly

certain purchases
17
14/4
16/4
P.T.S

STATEMENT OF PROMISES MADE BY GOVERNMENT AT THE DASARA SESSION OF THE REPRESENTATIVE ASSEMBLY, October 1931.

(~~Financial Secretary to Govt.~~)

Promise	Action taken.
1) In reply to Question 16 (j) by Mr. M. Subbayya re. the stoppage of the distribution of Sthalavasada Akki to the non-residents, Government said that it would be considered. (Question No.16).	O.M. 1160. Is being considered and submitted separately. CE
2) With reference to the reply of Govt. to Question 16 (f) regarding the cash payments in connection with the 'Vairamudi' and 'Krishnaraja Mudi' Utsavams, Mr. M.Subbaiya brought to notice that the Samaradhane was not being held by the Sri Parakala Swamy Mutt. The Second Member of Council thereupon said that he would enquire into the matter. (Supp. Question No. 16)	no papers on the subject in the Branch CE
3) Regarding the grants for the 'Vairamudi' and the 'Krishnaraja Mudi', Mr. M.Subbaiya said that the Melkote Municipality was very badly off financially and that if an amount equivalent to two years' grants was spent, it would be possible to provide drinking water facilities in the place. The Second Member of Council said that the suggestion would be noted. (Supp. Question No.16.)	no papers on the subject in the Branch. CE

Ex-Officio Secy. R.A.

DT

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 193 .

Serial No.

2) Draft Order (revised) for revision of Shukla case and Bhatia money orders as per Methods have just now been received from the M.E (Dno 1160) and are being marked up and, Gremlin please

4) There are no papers on the other two subjects in the Home Dept letter to the M.E. Kindly see a report on ~~the~~ and the promises from the M.E, by about the 15th May 1932 is ~~Note~~ for supplemental order

C.C.
22/4/32 — 5 June 26/4/32

6. Why is this sent back to me please? 25/4/32

Mr. R-S to send Mail Section urgent By 25/4

25 APR 32

Letter No 1557 dated the 26th April 1932 to the Mysore Comm forwarding for report a statement of promises ~~made~~ made by Govt during the sitting of the Representative Assembly in Oct 1931.

yrs A.
Shamiram's
Kindly issue
early

File No. of 193 General and Revenue Secretariat

Ol 3595 - 3603/K.S



Muzrai

[illegible]

Asst Secy

There are 3 items relating to Mrs on pages.

Chairman

By

N

In the accompanying statement items 10, 11 & 12 relating to the Calcutta Session of 1931 pertain to Muzrai subjects and action on each one of these has been taken and reports have been called for on all of them. If approved this list will be simply placed in one of those files called for under C.B., Mrs

8 324 9/5

7/5/32

Jr. Secy.

8 324 11/5

11/5

2/12/5

9 For personal

9/5/32

Note—Contn. Sheet.

File No.· of 193 .

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dft D.O. from dcb Sahib for
approval
Pl.
2015/32 -

Statement of Promises made at session of the L.R.A., October 1931 [App. F. III—2

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 422/L 169 of 1931.

Serial No

Re. Grants for drinking water wells in the Melkote Municipality

1) The amount allotted under '30 grants - Public Improvements - Improvements to Town and Minor Munic'l for 1931-32 has also all already been distributed and no sum is available

2) The question of giving any grant for the purpose during 1932-33 will have to be considered after the Budget for 1932-33 is passed

This may perhaps be noted for consideration at the time of distributing the above grants for Public Improvements

5/5

~~The question is separate whether the promise was for town improvements or whether Melkote was part of the district generally~~

1/2/3) Please see in this connection Question No 16 - the answer given [illegible]

13

R. A. Section

To Legislative Branch. with compts, for kindly putting up the references re. G.O No 16. (P. W. D) 5/5 The extract called for is herewith

6/5 Put up

6.5.32

The file returned herewith

6/5

6. MAY 1932

SECRETARY

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

Note—Confn. Sheet.

(Proof copy)

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—15-8-31

pants for drinking water-wells in Delhi →
Statement of promise made at the R.A.,
of 1931 (Oct)

DL. File No 4221 169 of 1931 | General and Revenue Secretariat

b) The references relating to S.No. 16 are put up. (Vide para 3 supra)

The subject relates to withholding of grants to Vasavamba and Krishnarajamudi & to make them available for drinking purposes drinking water. It seems this has to be done alternatively by the Mysore Govt

Draft to Mysore sent to Mysore Govt

Recd 7/5

6/5/32

11/5

Mysore Govt with Compts.

A report on the subject has been called for from the Mysore Govt. More papers will, if allowed, be included in that file and the report called for will be awaited.

12/5/32

Yes - Please send a

Memo if represent

12/5/32

Recd + sent.

Sent 11/5/32

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 193 .

Serial No.

D/f D/D Comm. dtd. 31st inst. for appl. in June
C/S
2015/32 ND 5/32

2. Noted & signed as reply has since been received

Letter No C 1405/31-32 dated the 16th May 1932 from the Inspector Comdt forwarding his report on the the promises made at the R.A. meeting in respect of Inspection subjects.

3. Re. Distribution of Sthalamara & Bhalamanya allowances at Melkote. The draft rules on the subject received from the Inspector Comm & have been approved with slight modifications and draft orders in the matter has been submitted for appl.

A

Re Complaint that Samaradhane is not conducted in the Sri Parakala Matt during the Vira mudi and Kristnaraya mudi celebrations at Melkote.

B

It is explained by the Inspector that the grant to the Matt is

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

being utilised for remunerative
duty Vaina Mudi instead of 11 duty
Krishnaraya Mudi as per orders
of a previous Inspection.

Re the Collector's suggestion about the
diversion of the grants to Viramadi
& Krishnaraya Mudi for approach
water facilities to Mukkate.

The Inspection Comm. explains
how this suggestion is impracticable.

Submitted for orders

C.G., Mo.

23/5/32

A — Orders will issue [illegible]

B & C — The Mech Engr may be
accepted and the same
communicated to the R. D. Member

25/5/32

For Secy)

A — A copy of the Lo may be sent to
the Member for Insp.

B. No further action is called for

C The member's proposal is impracticable

1st Member

29/5

30/5

Secy

9/6/32

1/6/32

OFFICE OF THE COUNCIL
30 MAY 1932
No. 7805

Serial No.

Flag F

2/6

The file may now be forwarded to the R A section for information and for kindly sending a copy of their letter No 1786-87/Imp 278-31 2 dated the 23rd/30th May 1932, with rules for the distribution of Shikaramara and Bhatakamanya allowances to out Hon'ble, the Imp Legislative, R A members.

C.S.
1/6/32

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
1. JUN. 1932
REPRESENTATIVE ... AND LEGISLATURE

RA Section in the Complex

The Section taken with promises have been noted. The Imp Branch has to send a copy of the rules referred to above to the member concerned. It is not usual for this Branch to deal with the Correspondence connecting arising out of the promises made by Govt. Submitted for perusal before returning the file

1/6

1/6.

Mark B.F. We.

1/6/32

1/6. Put Submitted for approval

C.S.
2/6/32

33

3/6/32

Letter No. 1810 of 4.6.32 from the C requesting him to furnish information regarding [illegible] made by Govt. of India

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

File No

of 1931

General

LIST OF PROMISES MADE BY GOVERNMENT AT THE MEETINGS OF THE REPRESENTATIVE ASSEMBLY IN JUNE AND OCTOBER 1930, AND JUNE AND OCTOBER 1931.

LIST OF PROMISES MADE BY GOVERNMENT AT THE MEETING OF THE REPRESENTATIVE ASSEMBLY IN JUNE 1930.

Sl. No.	Promise	Action taken
1	In reply to Mr. A. M. Venkatasamaiya's representation that the back assessment on encroached lands levied during re-surveys might be collected in instalments instead of in a lumpsum, the Second Member of Council observed that the Revenue Commissioner would issue the necessary orders in the matter and the Government would have no objection to take the amount in instalments. (Representation No. 9.)	As the difficulty complained of was in respect of the encroachments along the margin of the Arkavati river in the Closepet and Kankanhalli Taluks, the general concession of payment by instalments was for the present continued to those two taluks only. Necessary instructions were accordingly issued to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore, by the Revenue Commissioner.
2	The Second Member of Council said that the question of reducing the higher rate of Rs. 2 levied on each hisse would be considered along with the general scheme of reduction of phoding charges. (Representation No. 22.)	The matter is under consideration.

BUDGET RESOLUTIONS

Serial number of Resolution	Resolutions	Government's reply	Disposal	Action taken
1	That this Assembly recommends to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja that provision be made in the Budget Estimate for starting a Technological College in the State. (Resolution No. 50 by Mr. M. Ramaswamy.)	The Third Member of Council pointed out that the question being a very important one and the work of the Committee being very responsible, no conclusions could possibly be arrived at off-hand as many details had to be very carefully considered. Anyhow he promised that the matter would be speeded up.	The resolution was withdrawn.	The Committee appointed to work out the details of the Scheme has submitted an *interim* report and it is under the consideration of Government.
		GENERAL RESOLUTIONS.		
2	That this Assembly recommends to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja that the hereditary office of Shanbhogs be abolished, and that the system prevailing in the Madras Presidency of appointing Shanbhogs on fixed pay be introduced. (General Resolution No. 7 by Mr. S. C. Malliah)	The Second Member of Council replied that an official Committee had been appointed by Government to go into the question and that necessary action would be taken as early as possible soon after their report was received. In reply to the suggestion of some members for the appointment of a mixed committee, the Second Member of Council said that that point would be considered. Mr. H. B. Gundappa and others requested the Government to accept at least the principle of the resolution The Dewan regretted that Government could not accept the resolution but would make further enquiries into the matter, and that a Committee would be appointed for the purpose.	The resolution was put to vote and passed by a large majority.	A Committee was appointed in G. O. No. R. 5619-22—L. R. 371-28-30, dated 14th February 1930, to examine and report on the question.

LIST OF PROMISES MADE BY GOVERNMENT AT THE MEETING OF THE REPRESENTATIVE ASSEMBLY IN OCTOBER 1930.

Sl. No.	Promise	Action taken
1	As regards the removal of restriction regarding construction of houses in survey numbers, the First Member of Council stated that a circular would be issued to the Revenue Department making the point clear, *viz.*, that no fine should be levied when the conditions of the rule were satisfied. The Dewan added that Government would examine the matter and see how far the existing difficulties could be removed. (Representation No. 4).	The Revenue Commissioner's opinion in the matter has been called for.
2	In reply to a supplementary question, whether Government would permit the District Board to use the cess collections for road works, the Second Member of Council promised to consider the matter. (Question No. 17).	The opinions of the District Boards of Kolar, Mysore, Shimoga and Kadur Districts have been called for. The proposal to amend the Regulation is ~~before the Council~~. Under Consideration
3	Referring to Mr. S. C. Malliah's suggestion, that a copy of the Khetwar may be supplied to Patels also, the Dewan said that the matter would be examined. (Representation No. 8).	It is not possible or necessary to supply the Khetwar to patels.
4	In reply to Mrs. Sakamma's question as to whether it was not possible to reduce expenditure under some other heads and start a few more Women's Homes, the Second Member of Council promised to consider the suggestion. (Question No. 55).	Report from the Director of Public Instruction is awaited.
5	Mr. B. Srinivasiengar of Anekal represented that first class powers might be delegated to the Itinerant Magistrates wherever there were First Class Magistrates, so that they might try such cases as well, and the Second Member of Council said that necessary inquiries would be made. (Representation No. 53.)	The High Court has been consulted Final report is awaited.
6	In reply to Mr. B. S. Puttaswamy's suggestion that the Bombay practice regarding processes, (*viz.*, that if the party paid one rupee on account of the process fee any number of processes could be issued until they were actually served) might, with advantage, be adopted in Mysore, the Secretary to Government, Law Department, promised that it would be examined. (Representation No. 56)	There is no practice in any of the High Courts in British India to remit the process fees if service is ineffective. The High Court is of opinion that the present ~~rates~~ are elastic enough to meet cases where effective service has not been made. A change from the present practice is not necessary.
7	The Dewan said that the general question of establishing Munsiff-Magistrates' courts was under the consideration of the High Court. (Representation No. 61).	The Registrar, High Court, has been addressed in the matter. Copies of the representations are forwarded to the High Court for consideration.

Sl No.	Promise	Action taken
8	It was represented that there were some doubts in regard to the nature of the crop with reference to which remission of wet assessment on tank irrigated lands should be regulated. The Dewan promised that the matter would be considered and early steps taken to remove the doubts felt in the interpretation and application of the standing orders on the subject. (Dewan's concluding remarks).	
9	As to the question of fees relating to Record of Rights, the Dewan promised that what re-adjustment of the scale of fees for lands of all kinds was possible, would be examined consistently with the object that the whole operation in regard to the introduction of this measure was conducted so as to involve neither loss nor gain. (Dewan's concluding remarks).	The matter is still under consideration and a report from the Revenue Commissioner is awaited.

Serial number of Resolution	Resolutions	Government's reply	Disposal	Action taken
1	That this Assembly recommends to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja that the District Boards may be allowed to have their own Engineering Establishments (Resolution No 3 by Mr. H B Gundappa)	The Dewan said that Government were prepared to appoint a Committee of officials and non officials to consider whether the proposal was feasible from all points of view	The resolution was withdrawn	The report of the Committee appointed in G. O. No L 4972-9—L B 83-30-40, dated 10-12-30 to consider the question is awaited.
2	This Assembly recommends to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja that they may be pleased to reduce the rates of fees in colleges to what they were before. (Resolution No 6 by Mr Sankara Krishniah)		The resolution was carried by a large majority.	Government not having committed themselves to any course of action in the matter, no action is necessary

LIST OF PROMISES MADE BY GOVERNMENT AT THE MEETING OF THE REPRESENTATIVE ASSEMBLY IN JUNE 1931.

Sl. No.	Promise	Action taken
1	In reply to a supplementary question of Mr. B. Gopalachar, regarding the Report of the Special Committee to examine the conditions in Malnad, it was promised that the matter would be expedited. (Question No. 2).	
2	In reply to a supplementary question of Mr. M. C. Lingegowda, enquiring as to whether Government knew that in Hunsur Taluk 200 auctions took place, Government promised to enquire into the matter (Question No. 2).	It is reported that only 47 sales had been conducted in that Taluk up to the commencement of the last session of the R. A.
3	The Government promised to consider the suggestion of Mr. H. B. Gundappa, regarding the transfer of officials from one taluk to another in the same District once in three years (Representation No. 1).	The opinion of the Revenue Commissioner has been called for.
		Orders issued regarding deferred khists *vide* Press Communique dated 22nd June 1931.
4	In reply to the remark of Mr. Madaiya, that the opening of indigenous dispensaries in the Mysore City should be left to the Municipality and that it was not right to ask the District Board to pay for such institutions, the Dewan promised that the matter would be considered. (General Discussions on the Budget).	As these dispensaries serve a real need of people from outside the city and as they are resorted to by people from the moffusil, the Government have informed the District Board that they consider that the grants which the practitioners are getting for such a long time may be continued to them during their life time and the vacancies need not be filled up as they occur.

Serial number	Resolutions	Government's reply	Disposal	Action taken
		GENERAL RESOLUTIONS.		
1	This Assembly recommends to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja the desirability of adopting suitable measures in the matter of securing adequate representation of the backward classes in the higher ranks as the progress achieved in this behalf during the last twelve years has not been satisfactory. (Resolution No. 3 by Mr. S. C Mallaiya.)	The Dewan stated that the principle embodied therein would be accepted and that Government would try to give effect to it as far as possible provided the mover would agree to omit the last clause in it.	The resolution was accepted with the deletion of the words "as the progress achieved in this behalf during the last twelve years has not been satisfactory."	As the question of establishing a Staff Selection Board is under consideration, no further action is necessary.

STATEMENT OF PROMISES MADE AT THE DASARA SESSION OF THE REPRESENTATIVE ASSEMBLY DURING OCTOBER 1931.

Sl. No.	Promise	Action taken
1	In reply to a supplementary question of Mr. D. S. Mallappa regarding the reduction of the fee of Rs. 2 prescribed for entries involving measurement of fields under the Record of Rights Scheme, the First Member of Council promised to consider the matter. (Question No. 1).	
2	In reply to a supplementary question of Mr. T. Mariyappa regarding the grant of endorsements to petitioners, the Dewan said that the receipt of every petition in the Revenue Department should be acknowledged by the officer concerned and that instructions would be issued to enforce that rule. (Question No. 3).	
3	In reply to the question of Mr. N. Appanna Reddy regarding the appointment of a *Manegar* for the Amani Bellandur major tank, Government promised that it would be considered. (Question No. 6).	
4	In reply to the supplementary question of Mr. M. C. Linge Gowda *re*: the appointment of a Tank Panchayet connected with the tank referred to above, the First Member of Council promised that it would be considered. (Question No. 6).	
5	With regard to the observation of Mr. H. C. Dasappa that the Revenue officers had failed to impress upon the raiyats the consequences of having neglected the repairs of the said tank the First Member of Council promised to look into the matter. (Supplementary Question No. 6).	
6	With regard to Mr. D. S. Mallappa's question whether Government knew that more non-Brahmins were thrown out than Brahmins among the Maistries employed in the Irwin Canal Works, the Dewan said that he would enquire. (Supplementary Question No. 9).	Enl- of 119 hands that were thrown out, 31 were Brahmins and 88 non-Brahmins

Sl. No.	Promise	Action taken
7	With regard to the statement of Mr. Ibrahim Khan that the Assistant Inspectors had not inspected the rented buildings in which the Urdu Boys' and Girls' Primary Schools were located, the First Member of Council said that the local officers would be asked to inspect and take necessary action. (Supplementary Question No. 12).	
8	With regard to the *Khan Bahadur* Abbas Khan's question as to how many Urdu Schools were housed in Government buildings, the First Member of Council said that the Director of Public Instruction had called for the information and that the matter would be expedited. (Supplementary Question No. 12).	
9	With reference to the reply of Government to question 14 (*h*) *re*: the nature of vacancy in the office of the City Municipal Council, Mysore caused on account of the death of one Syed Ghouse, some members represented that the vacancy which was at first advertised as a special vacancy was later on advertised as a general one. In that connection, the First Member of Council said that he would make enquiries in the matter and ascertain the fact with reference to the register maintained in the office. (Supplementary Question No. 14)	
10	In reply to Question 16 (j) by Mr M. Subbayya *re*: the stoppage of the distribution of *Sthalavasada Akki* to the non-residents, Government said that it would be considered. (Question No. 16).	In G. O. No. 1786-87/Misc 278-32 dt 23.2.32, rules for the distribution of Sthalavasa allowance at Melkote have been issued
	With reference to the reply of Government to Question 16 (*f*) regarding the cash payments in connection with the 'Vairamudi' and 'Krishnaraja Mudi' Utsavams, Mr. M. Subbaiya brought to notice that the Samaradhane was not being held by the Sri Parakala Swamy Mutt. The Second Member of Council thereupon said that he would enquire into the matter. (Supplementary Question No. (6)-16)	No further action is called for
12	Regarding the grants for the 'Vairamudi' and the 'Krishnaraja Mudi,' Mr. M. Subbaiya said that the Melkote Municipality was very badly off financially and that if an amount equivalent to two years' grants was spent, it would be possible to provide drinking water facilities in the place. The Second Member of Council said that the suggestion would be noted. (Supplementary Question No. 16).	The proposal of the K. A. Member is impracticable

Sl. No.	Promise	Action taken
13	With regard to the representation of Mr. Sorappa regarding the reduction of assessment on wet and garden lands, the First Member of Council said that, in *re.* to the conversion of the Bagayet into wet lands, Government would make local enquiries and decide the matter. (Representation No. 9).	
14	With regard to the representation *re.* the reduction of excessive phod charges the First Member of Council observed that the Deputy Commissioners, who had power to reduce fees in cases of small hisses, would be asked to exercise that power more liberally. (Representation No. 11).	
15	With regard to the representation referred to above, Mr. H. B. Gundappa said that the rates for the demarcation of hisses of Tari, Bagayet and Kushki were not different and suggested that differential rates might be fixed as it would help the poor. The First Member of Council said that it was a new principle and would be considered. (Representation No. 11).	
16	With reference to Mr. H. C. Dasappa's question if Statistics of maternal mortality had not been maintained, the First Member of Council replied that statistics had not been maintained accurately in all places and that the suggestion concerning the maintenance of the figures hereafter would be considered. (Supplementary Question No. 53).	
17	With reference to the representation *re.* the reduction of upset price for coffee lands from Rs. 40 to Rs. 10 per acre, the First Member of Council said that Government could not do anything in the matter until the result of the working of the recent order reducing the upset price to Rs. 20/- was known and promised to consult local officers in the matter. (Representation No. 15).	
18	With regard to Mr. M. S. Linge Gowda's observation that a Government Order had been issued regarding Khetwar during the Dewanship of Sir M. Visvesvaraya, the First member of Council said that necessary instructions would be issued enforcing that order. (Representation No. 20).	

Dasara Session

RESOLUTIONS—~~JUNE~~ 1931.– *concld.*

Serial number	Resolutions	Governments reply	Disposal	Action taken
1	This Assembly recommends to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja the cancellation of the order of Government abolishing the posts of lady Inspectresses and the appointment of proper candidates for these posts again. (Resolution No. 23 by Srimathi D. Sakamma).	The Dewan said that it would not be possible to accept the resolution without making further investigation and that Government would have the matter examined.	The resolution was withdrawn on the assurance of the Dewan.	

Rs 1 to Rs 10 per B.O.T.U
charged for in lieu of those
for industrial purposes in rural
parts be hereafter Collected at
One Anna upto 50 H.P. inclusive.
(Resolution No 1 by Mr. S. L. Bylappa.

32

MESSRS. M. SUBBAIYA (Seringapatam Municipality), S. DEVAIYA and SIVANANJEGOWDA (Seringapatam Taluk).—

Q.—16. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) What is the scale of expenditure prescribed for the Sri Narayanaswami temple at Melkote?

(*b*) Out of the prescribed expenditure, what is the expenditure under (i) *Sthalavasada Akki*, (ii) *Padithara Nithyakatle* and (iii) *Hetchukatle*?

(*c*) What are all the items of expenditure under *Padithara*?

(*d*) Under *Hetchukatle*, how much expenditure is fixed for *Vairamudi* and *Krishnarajamudi Utsavams*?

(*e*) Are cash payments being made out of this amount?

(*f*) If so, who are all those getting such cash payments?

(*g*) Why are cash payments being made?

(*h*) How many are getting *Sthalavasada Akki*?

(*i*) Of these, how many are residents in the place?

(*j*) Will necessary action be taken to stop the distribution among the non-residents?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.—

A.—16. (*a*) Rs. 20,295 a year.

		Rs.	a.	p.
(*b*)	(i)	3,432	0	0
	(ii)	2,988	0	0
	(iii)	4,961	4	0

	Rs.	a.	p.	
(*c*) Daily Neivedya	116	12	0	
Abhisheka	8	8	0	
Deeparadhane	89	12	0	
Contingencies	9	0	0	
Varakatle and Nakshatrakatle	25	0	0	
	249	0	0	per month or
	2,988	0	0	per annum.
(*d*) Vairamudi ...	1,000	0	0	
Krishnarajamudi	2,000	0	0	

(*e*) Yes.

(*f*) Sri Parakalaswami Mutt Rs. 737-7-0 for Samaradhane during the Utsavam.

(*g*) 4 Acharyapurushas at Rs. 140-7-7 each or Rs. 561-14-4 in all, as honorarium for meeting feeding expenses, etc., to enable them to attend the Utsavam.

(*h*) 250 families represented at present by 208 individuals.

(*i*) 186.

(*j*) Will be considered.

Supplementary Questions and Answers.

Mr. M. Subbaiya.—

With reference to answer to (*b*) (i) may I know for how many years past the expenditure under *Sthalavasada Akki* is being incurred?

Financial Secretary to Government.—

I want notice.

Mr. M. Subbaiya.—

On what grounds is that grant based?

Dewan.—

The original is being continued.

Mr. M. Subbaiya.—

Is it paid from State Funds?

Financial Secretary to Government.—

It is paid both from State Funds and the income from the Inam grant. In fact, based on the total of the Inam grant from Government, the entire scale of expenditure of the temple has been fixed.

Mr. M. Subbaiya.—

Is the *Sthalavasada Akki* payable only to residents of the place or even to those who may be residing elsewhere?

Financial Secretary to Government.—

It is intended to be paid only to local residents. But some of them might be residing elsewhere temporarily. We cannot refuse to pay them for that reason. If they have permanently left the place and any such instances are brought to notice, they will be examined.

Mr. M. Subbaiya.—

Is it intended to be paid only to poor men or to well-to-do persons also?

Financial Secretary to Government.—

That question may not arise in the case of Sannads granted several decades ago.

Mr. M. Subbaiya.—

Are the original grantees or their successors getting the grant themselves or is the benefit accruing to some others? And is the grant taken delivery of by these persons directly or through agents?

Second Member of Council.—

It is presumed that the grant is being made only to persons who are entitled to it. It is immaterial whether the grantees themselves receive it or through their agents.

Mr. M. Subbaiya.—

With reference to answer to (*f*), may I bring to the notice of Government that the Samaradhane is not being held, as for instance on the occasion of the recent Vairamudi Utsavam?

Second Member of Council.—

I shall enquire into the matter.

Mr. M. Subbaiya.—

What is the purpose and nature of the grant made to the Acharyapurushas referred to in the answer to question (*g*)?

Second Member of Council.—

It is given as an honorarium, and the exact reasons for the grant cannot be given off-hand.

Mr. M. Subbaiya.—

Who, exactly, are the 4 Acharyapurushas referred to? Are they personally present at the Utsavams?

Second Member of Council.—

Notice.

Mr. M. Subbaiya.—

When were the Sannads in respect of these grants granted and by whom?

Second Member of Council.—

Notice.

Mr. M. Subbaiya.—

The grant for the Vairamudi is Rs. 1,000 and that for the Krishnarajamudi, Rs. 2,000. Are the Government satisfied that these grants are made in proportion to the relative importance of the two Utsavams?

Second Member of Council.—

Relative importance is a matter of opinion. But I may say that the scales of these grants have often been examined by the Muzrai Commissioner and sometimes also revised.

Mr. M. Subbaiya.—

In view of the financial stringency with which the Government is faced at present, will the Government consider the desirability of discontinuing the grant in future?

Second Member of Council.—

The question will be considered with reference to the terms of the Sannad.

Mr. M. Subbaiya.—

Many important wants of the residents of the place as well as of the pilgrims going there on important occasions have not been provided for want of funds. Cannot these grants be withheld and utilised for the provision of drinking water facilities, for instance?

Second Member of Council.—

Is not provision of drinking water a necessary duty of the municipality?

Mr. M. Subbaiya.—

The Municipality is very badly off, financially. If an amount equivalent to two years grant is spent, it will be possible to provide adequate drinking water to all those that visit the place on all important occasions.

Second Member of Council.—

The suggestion will be noted.

Mr. K. M. Krishnappa Gowda.—

Will the Government be pleased to appoint a committee of officials and non-officials to enquire into the extent to which the objects of the original grant are being fulfilled?

Second Member of Council.—

Government will see to it themselves.

Mr. K. M. Krishnappa Gowda.—

Are the Government aware how many communities are holding *santarpanes* of their own, and if so, is the same kind of encouragement and help being given to such communities?

Second Member of Council.—

The member is apparently referring to grants made under Sannads granted long long ago. I do not think the question of fresh grants for such purposes will arise in these days.

Mr. L. Vema Reddy.—

If grants like those under discussion are withdrawn, will it help the preservation of time-honoured institutions? And is it necessary or desirable to go counter to well-established *mamool*?

Second Member of Council.—

That is a hypothetical question.

Capt. Y. V. Ayya.—

How many communities are profiting by the grant and can it not be put a stop to, considering that many a *mamool* has been given up in recent years?

Second Member of Council.—

I am not able at once to say which communities are getting the grant; it may be that only one community is getting it. It may or may not be possible to stop it according to the terms of the Sannads which have the force of law. The analogy of some other *mamools* having been put an end to may not hold in such cases.

Capt. Y. V. Ayya.—

Have not almost all the choultries known by the name of "Purnaiya's Choultries" been wound up now?

Second Member of Council.—

Annachattrams were a matter of gift at the pleasure of Government and nobody can enjoy the benefit of it as a matter of right.

Capt. Y. V. Ayya.—

This grant is also a matter of gift by His Highness the Maharaja. It can be discontinued and utilised otherwise for the benefit of the general public.

Second Member of Council.—

I am afraid I cannot agree to such an analogy.

Capt. Y. V. Ayya.—

Even now, will the Government be pleased to consider it as a suggestion from this Assembly?

Dewan.—

This is not the time for considering suggestions.

General Principles of the Municipal Bills for the [Management of Municipal Affairs in (1) Cities, (2) Towns, and (3) Minor Municipalities—(*contd.*)

With reference to 24 (3) relating to affording to the

28

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Immigration

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to 1196

No 1557 Secret

To

The Immigration Commissioner,
Bangalore

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Immigration
the 193 .

Date of issue 26/4/32

Sir,

Signed by Mr. B. Muddu Krishnappa

I am directed to forward herewith a copy of the Statement of Decisions, ~~and Orders~~ relating to the Immigration Department made by Government during the sitting of the Representative Assembly in October 1931, and to request you to send as to January

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

Event with a report
on each one of them
on or before the
15th May 1932

The marks may hereby
be located as urgent.

Shane & Co

25/4/32

Mgr. & Engr.

C.B., Dn
22/4/32

Office of the Ex-Officio Secretary
Rl.2936/R.A. Representative Assembly and Legislative
Council, Dated, 14th April 1952.

MS 72-31-12

From

The Ex-Officio Secretary,
Representative Assembly and Legislative
Council.

The Secretary Secretary to Government.

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter No.Rl.3440-46 dated 22nd March 1952, I have the honour to forward herewith a copy of the promises made by Government during the last five days of the sittings of the Representative Assembly in October 1951 and to request that necessary action may kindly be taken in the matter and the final disposal of the subject intimated to this office on or before the 22nd May 1952 as per Calendar issued in the matter with letter No.Rl.3510-16/R.A. dated 8th April 1952.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

(Sd) C.Subramanya Iyer,
Ex-Officio Secretary,
Representative Assembly.

MM-CC

Statement of promises made by Government at the Dasara Session of the Representative Assembly, October 1931.

Promise.	Action taken.
Regarding the grant for the Vairamudi and the Krishnaraja Mudi, Mr. M. Subbaiya said that the Melkote Municipality was very badly off financially and that if an amount equivalent to two years' grants was spent, it would be possible to provide drinking water facilities in the place. The Second Member of council said that the suggestion would be noted. (Supplemental Question No.16)	

Subd

L. This is the same as No 3 in the list on the table

J. The D.C has been requested to submit a report in this matter before the 15th of May 1932. ~~If opted this will be placed on the file.~~ Will be pursued

28/4/32

30/4/32

1605

32

Signed

The accompanying
receipt does not relate
to this Branch they
may perhaps be sent
to the Russian Branch
where it has been dealt with

3/5

R. A. Leeuwen
Campbell

A copy has also been sent to Mint

This has been referred to the L.S.G.B. as the promise has been made by the H. M. who was in charge of the Local Bodies

3/5

Column 5 shows the Official No and date of the letter
The entries in column 6 should be made neatly in pen
In column 7 should be given explanations of any long d
Cases when disposed of should be scored across neatly
This statement should be continued from month to mon
Every three months the statement should be [illegible]

Subject

CL. 169-31-2

Office of the Ex-officio Secretary,
Rl.4235/R.A. Representative Assembly and Legislative
Council, Dated, 14th April 1962.

From

421

The Ex-officio Secretary,
Representative Assembly and Legislative
Council.

To

The Secretary Secretary, to the Govt.
General

GEN. & REV. S. CH.

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter No. R.1.3440-46 dated 22nd March 1962, I have the honour to forward herewith a copy of the promises made by Government during the last five days of the sittings of the Representative Assembly in October 1961 and to request that necessary action may kindly be taken in the matter and the final disposal of the subject intimated to this office on or before the 22nd May 1962 as per Calendar issued in the matter with letter No.R1.3819-1a/R.A. dated 6th April 1962.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

(Sd) C.Subramanya Iyer,
Ex-Officio Secretary,
Representative Assembly.

x x
29/4
29/4

Statement of promises made by Government at the Dasara Session of the Representative Assembly, October 1931.

Promise.	Action taken.
4. Regarding the grant for the Vairamudi and the Krishnaraja Mudi, Mr. M. Subbaiya said that the Melkote Municipality was very badly off financially and that if an amount equivalent to two years' grants was spent, it would be possible to provide drinking water facilities in the place. The Second Member of council said that the suggestion would be noted. (Supplemental Question No.16)	

35

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Imzpai

Immediate

File No. 72-31

Serial No. 14

Diary No. of letter replied to 1406

No

To The Imzpai Con
Bangalore,

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Imzpai)

the *193* .

Sir,

Date of issue 4-6-32

With reference to the Correspondence ending with your letter No C 1405/31-32 dated the 16th/19th May 1932 furnishing your opinion on the certain promises made by Govt during the sittings of the RA in Oct 1931 in respect of Question No 16., I am directed to request that a copy of G.O.

Signed by [illegible]

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....
4/6/32

Examined by .....

No 1786 87, Mag 2/18-31-2
dated the 23rd/30th May
1932, issuing rules for the
distribution of Khalasees
and Bhalamanjee allowances
at Mallkate may be sent
to Mr M. Sullivan, R.A. Member,
Seeur Jaipatam, for information.

I am to add that no further
is intended

I have &c

E 3/6/32

W H Lee to Govt

C.G. Do
2/6/32

Government of his highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

G. O. No. 1786-87—Muz. 278-31-2, dated 23rd—30th May 1932.

Sthalavasa and Bhatamanya Allowances.

Issues instructions for the distribution of —— at Melkote.

READ—

Correspondence ending with letter No. 994 of 1927-28, dated the 28th March—11th April 1932, from the Muzrai Commissioner, forwarding for sanction, draft rules for the distribution of Sthalavasa and Bhatamanya allowances at Melkote.

ORDER No. 1786-87—MUZ. 278-31-2, DATED BANGALORE, THE 23RD—30TH MAY 1932.

The draft rules are approved as amended and appended to this order.

B. SRINIVASA IYENGAR,
Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

To—The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, returning enclosures.
The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.

Exd—P. S. R. N.

No. 1786-87—Muz. 278-31-2, dated 23rd—30th May 1932.

APPENDIX

RULES FOR THE DISTRIBUTION OF STHALAVASA AND BHATAMANYA ALLOWANCES IN THE SRI NARAYANASWAMI TEMPLE AT MELKOTE.

I. Sthalavasa Allowances.—1. The distribution should be confined to the families which were in receipt of the allowance at the time of the original grant.

2. Residence at Melkote is a necessary condition to receive the allowance and no right to appoint a gumasta for receiving it will be recognised.

3. Distribution will be made once a month after the 25th proportionate reduction being made, except for valid reasons, for the number of weeks a recipient was absent from Melkote. It shall be the responsibility of the Peshkar to see that the distribution is made only to the Hakdars residing at Melkote.

4. The member of a family above the age of seven will alone be entitled to receive the allowance.

5. At Rs. 14 per palla the present tasdik will permit of the distribution of $63\frac{1}{3}$ seers of rice per day which will be increased or decreased in accordance with the ruling rates in the market.

6. The rate will be 1/8 seer per soul per day as at present and the quantity for a family will be calculated with reference to the number of its members resident at Melkote, subject to the limitation contained in Rule 4 above. The allowances of absentees will lapse to the temple.

7. No family will get more than one seer a day and no individual will be entitled to receive the allowance in more than one capacity.

8. The married daughters of a family will not be entitled to the allowance unless they are married into a family entitled to the Sthalavasa.

9. When the allowance goes to a *Bhinna Gotra* descendant such as a daughter's son, a sister's son, etc., it should cease with that individual if his family was not included in the original list of families entitled to the allowance.

II. Bhatamanya.—1. Bhatamanya allowance should ordinarily be in the form of cooked rice and no commutation should be allowed, except in the case of persons who have religious scruples to receive it in that form and who are therefore allowed uncooked rice instead.

2. No person or family entitled to Sthalavasa should be included in the Bhatamanya distribution list except those who hold a special *Sannad* entitling them to both.

3. The Prasada should be in the form of Alankara and at no time should it exceed 10 seers a day but may be decreased in the slack season.

4 The distribution should be made in quantities sufficient to satisfy the hunger of an adult person and ordinarily at the rate of one seer of rice for four persons.

5. A list of persons entitled to Bhatamanya allowance should be made out in accordance with the principles laid down under Sthalavasa and the distribution should be made only to persons who are actually present in the temple at the time of worship and distribution.

6. After the *Hakdars* receive their shares the balance of Prasada should be distributed in the following order:—

(i) Desantris;

(ii) Students of the Local Sanskrit College who are poor and have no other means of sustenance;

(iii) Resident people who get neither Sthalavasa nor Bhatamanya and who are poor and hold no appointment in the temple; and

(iv) Other students.

W D 2248—GPB—425—7.6 32

L 72

Dear Dr Rama Chandra Rao,

Please see the accompanying reminders from the BPA Secretary and kindly obtain the H Secretary's orders on the concerned files, early, if possible.

Yours sincerely,
Ellanbridge
28/5/32

promises made by Govt at the K A Conf

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. of 193

Serial No.

October 1931

Page 9 of the Statt – Serial nos. 10/11 81

Action taken may kindly be intimated immediately

Muzrai Branch
With Compts
D 2615. Apl 26/5

GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT
1406
28. MAY 1932
MUZRAI.

Subd
This refers to D. No. 1379 and the file is subd. to the Dy. Secy on 25/5/32 for Action on receipt of orders on the opinion furnished by the A.-G on each one of the subjects the file will be forwarded to the R. A. Section with a note indicating the manner of disposal on each one of the subjects.
See No
26/5

28/6/32

Please see connected file 28/5/32

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 02328—GPB—10,000—22-4-30

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Read:—

Correspondence ending with letter No. 994 of 1927-28 dated the 28th March 11th April 1928 from the Huzrai Commissioner forwarding for sanction, draft rules for the distribution of Tholavasa and Bhatavanya allowances at Melkote.

Order No. 1786-87/ Huz 278-31-2

Dated, Bangalore, the 23rd May 1928.

The draft rules are approved as amended in the annexed this order.

for Secretary to Government,
Judicial Department.

To

The Huzrai Commissioner in Mysore, returning enclosures.
The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.

K.R.G.G.

Rules for the distribution of Sthalavasa and Bātamanya allowances in the Sri Narayanaswami temple at Melkote.

---

1. Sthalavasa allowances.- The distribution should be confined to the families which were in receipt of the allowance at the time of the original grant.

2. Residence at Melkote is a necessary condition to receive the allowance and no right to appoint a gumasta for receiving it will be recognised.

3. Distribution will be made once a month after the 25th proportionate reduction being made except for valid reasons, for the number of weeks a recipient was absent from Melkote. It shall be the responsibility of the Peshkar to see that the distribution is made only to the Hakdars residing at Melkote.

4. The member of a family above the age of seven will alone be entitled to receive the allowance.

5. At Rs. 14 - per palla the present tasdik will permit of the distribution of 63 1/3 seers of rice per day, which will be increased or decreased in accordance with the ruling rates in the market.

6. The rate will be 1/8 seer per soul per day as at present and the quantity for a family will be calculated with reference to the number of its members resident at Melkote, subject to the limitation contained in Rule 4 above. The allowances of absentees will lapse to the temple.

7. No family will get more than one seer a day and no individual will be entitled to receive the allowance in more than one capacity.

8. The married daughters of a family will not be entitled to the allowance unless they are married into a family entitled to the Sthalavasa.

9. When the allowance goes to a Bhinna Gotra descendant such as a daughter's son, a sister's son &c. it should cease with that individual if his family was not included in the original list of families entitled to the allowance.

11. Bhatamanya. Bhatamanya allowance should ordinarily be in the form of cooked rice and no commutation should be allowed, except in the case of persons who have religious scruples to receive it in that form and who are therefore allowed uncooked rice instead.

2. No person or family entitled to Sthalavasa should be included in the Bhatamanya distribution list except those who hold a special Sannad entitling them to both.

3. The Prasada should be in the form of Alankara and at no time should it exceed 10 seers a day but may be decreased in the slack season.

4. The distribution should be made in quantities sufficient to satisfy the hunger of an adult person and ordinarily at the rate of one seer of rice for four persons.

5. A list of persons entitled to Bhatamanya allowance should be made out in accordance with the principles laid down under Sthalavasa and the distribution should be made only to persons who are actually present in the temple at the time of worship and distribution.

6. After the Hakdars receive their shares the balance of Prasada should be distributed in the following order:-

i. Desantris;

ii) Students of the local Sanskrit College who are poor and have no other means of sustenance;

iii) Resident people who get h neither Sthalavasa nor Bhatamanya and who are poor and hold no appointment in the temple; and

iv) Other students.

****